

IDAHO COUNCIL ON DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES
MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE MEETING
Thursday, April 23, 2009
10:45 a.m. – 12:15 p.m
DRAFT MINUTES

Call To Order – <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Welcome and Introductions- Perfection of Agenda- Announcements	<p><u>Members present:</u> Jane Donnellan, Beth Cunningham, Lisa Robbe, Kristyn Herbert, Mitch Scoggins; Alonzo Statham</p> <p><u>Staff:</u> Samantha Wallace, Ron Enright</p> <p><u>Other:</u> Mary Arndt</p> <p>Jane Donnellan called the meeting to order at 10:53. Alonzo Statham arrived shortly afterward and assumed the chair position.</p> <p>There were no changes made to the agenda.</p>
Approval of January 29, 2009 Minutes ➔	<p>Mitch Scoggins moved to accept the minutes as written; seconded by Lisa Robbe. The motion carried unanimously.</p>
<p>Review & Evaluate Applications for Council membership;</p> <p>One self-advocate & two parent membership slots are open</p>	<p>The committee reviewed 6 applications from self-advocates and 8 applications from parents. One possible self-advocate position was being considered because the self advocate in the position is concluding 3 full terms of 3 years each, a total of 9 years. The committee had decided at a previous meeting that under such conditions, sitting members could re-apply but they would need to compete for their positions with new applicants. A parent was in the same situation; Council Chair Rick Betzer decided not to seek a 4th term, whereas the self-advocate member did re-apply.</p> <p>Committee members reviewed the submitted applications and the recorded results of telephone interviews conducted by Ron and Alonzo. The committee discussed their impressions and ranked the applicants on a score sheet. The top self advocate was determined quickly as all but one committee</p>

	<p>member ranked one self-advocate as the number 1 applicant. The alternate was determined by the same method; the applicant with the second best total score.</p> <p>With 8 applications from very well qualified individuals, the selection process for parents was difficult. Following a discussion, no clear first choice emerged but through consensus 5 candidates moved to the top of the order. Those candidates were ranked by the committee and resulted in 2 applicants with clearly superior scores and another with the third best score situated as the alternate. Ron reflected the results of the scoring and asked the committee if this was their consensus for a final ranking. All agreed that it was.</p> <p>The application materials were collected and Ron cautioned the committee to maintain confidentiality. He stated that the committee results constituted a recommendation and that the final determination would be made by the Governor, hopefully in time for new appointees to attend the July Council meeting.</p>
Wrap Up <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Review Action Items - Future Agenda Items - Meeting Evaluation 	<p>There were no items in the wrap up as the evaluation and selection process for applicants consumed the entire meeting time.</p>
Adjourn	<p>The meeting was adjourned at 12:15pm.</p>